

Subject: Re: Success Stories of National Lok Adalat to be held on 08.04.2017 latest by 15.04.2017-reg
From: BSLSA, Patna (bslsa_87@yahoo.in)
To: nalsa-dla@nic.in;
Date: Thursday, 30 March 2017 5:57 PM

Bihar State Legal Services Authority
Success Story of National Lok Adalat held on 11.2.2017

In the Court of Family Court, Lakhisarai

Matrimonial 201/16

96/16

Kundan Kumar

V/s

Kismat Kumari

Petitioner Kundan Kumar filed the present suit u/s-9 of Hindu Marriage Act for restitution of Conjugal Rights. The Petitioner got married with the respondent in the year 2000 and blessed with two sons aged 13 years and 10 years. The allegation against the respondent is that she used to flee her parental home or anywhere without informing the petitioner. On 05.5.2015 she left her marital home and never returned. On 21.2.2016 the petitioner went his marital home or bring back her but she refused to return.

The present suit is filed on 08.11.2016 and respondent appeared on 24.1.2017. Reconciliation were held on 25.1.2017 and 08.2.2017. On 11.2.2017 at National Lok Adalat reconciliation was held and the respondent agreed to go with petitioner and reside at her marital home voluntarily.

The petitioner also agreed to keep her wife with honour and dignity at this residence.

So, in the light of aforesaid reconciliation, this matrimonial suit is allowed at National Lok Adalat held on 11.2.2017.

Title Appeal 146/1983

Radhika Devi

Vrs.

Harishankar Singh

The Civil Suit on 108/1976 was filed in the Court of Munsif IIrd Siwan by the Plaintiff Ram Prasad Roy and two others against sheo. Pujan Sah and other six defendants. On 30.7.1983, the Judgement of the suit was passed in which the suit was decreed in favour of the plaintiff the decree of the suit was drawn on 12.8.1983. The appeal no.146 /1983 has been preferred against decree dated 12.8.1983 concern with the Judgement dated 30.7.1983.

The plaintiff of this appeal (Defendants of original suit) and the defendants of this appeal (plaintiff of the original suit) had died during pendency of the appeal and the name of their heirs were substituted. Moreover, some of the substituted persons had also died and the name their heirs were substituted.

It is worthwhile to mention here that the plaintiff in the original suit namely Ram Pravesh Roy had given testimony on 14.3.1983 at that time, he was about 75 years old which draws on inference that at the time of filing of the suit the age of the plaintiff would 68-69 years approximately. Similarly, the defendant of the original suit shiv Pujan Sah was about 75 years as his evidence was adduced on 13.4.1983. He was purportedly, 68-69 years old at the time of filling of the suit.

Steps taken by the DSLA, Siwan

A meeting was convened by the District & Sessions Judge, Siwan, Mr. Om Prakash Rai in which it was resolved to form a committee with a view to settle the dispute through conciliation and compromise effected between the parties in respect of identified cases on various subject pursuant to this decision, a committee was formed under the presidentship of ADJ Ist Mr. Vinod Kumar Shukla for the settlement of the disputes regarding civil appeals and MACT cases. Almost everyday a meeting was called upon by the ADJ I siwan for the disposal of MACT cases as well as Civil Appeals and the Secretary